arXiv:1207.5595v2 [gr-qc] 26 Sep 2012

EMRI corrections to the angular velocity and redshift factor of a mass in circular orbit about a Kerr black hole

Abhay G. Shah,[1, 2, *] John L. Friedman,[2, †] and Tobias S. Keidl[3, ‡]
[1]*Dept of Particle Physics & Astrophysics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel*
[2]*Center for Gravitation and Cosmology, Department of Physics,*
University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, P.O. Box 413, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201, USA
[3]*Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin–Washington County,USA*
(Dated: 2012)

This is the first of two papers on computing the self-force in a radiation gauge for a particle of mass $\mathfrak{m}$ moving in circular, equatorial orbit about a Kerr black hole. In the EMRI (extreme-mass-ratio inspiral) framework, with mode-sum renormalization, we compute the renormalized value of the quantity $H := \frac{1}{2}h_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha u^\beta$, gauge-invariant under gauge transformations generated by a helically symmetric gauge vector; here $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is the metric perturbation, u^α the particle's 4-velocity. We find the related order $\mathfrak{m}$ correction to the particle's angular velocity at fixed renormalized redshift (and to its redshift at fixed angular velocity), each of which can be written in terms of H. The radiative part of the metric perturbation is constructed from a Hertz potential that is extracted from the Weyl scalar by an algebraic inversion[1]. We then write the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics as a sum over spin-weighted spherical harmonics ${}_sY_{\ell m}$ and use mode-sum renormalization to find the renormalization coefficients by matching a series in $L = \ell + 1/2$ to the large-L behavior of the expression for H. The non-radiative parts of the perturbed metric associated with changes in mass and angular momentum are calculated in the Kerr gauge.

PACS numbers: 04.30.Db, 04.25.Nx, 04.70.Bw

I. INTRODUCTION

We report here a first computation of the order $\mathfrak{m}$ renormalized corrections $\Delta\Omega$ to the angular velocity of a mass $\mathfrak{m}$ in circular orbit about a Kerr black hole and of the related change ΔU in the scalar $U = u^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t$. This is the constant of proportionality between the particle's velocity and the helical Killing vector k^α tangent to its trajectory: $u^\alpha = Uk^\alpha$. The corresponding computation for a Schwarzschild background was first done by Detweiler [2], who pointed out the invariance of these quantities under gauge transformations generated by helically symmetric gauge vectors. The invariance allows straightforward comparison with computations in other gauges, and comparisons were done for circular orbits in Schwarzschild for a Lorenz gauge [3] and for our modified radiation gauge [4]. Previous conservative self-force computations in Kerr spacetime have been restricted to a scalar particle in eccentric, equatorial orbit [5, 6].

The radiation gauges are associated with the Weyl scalars ψ_0 and ψ_4 that satisfy the Teukolsky equation [7]: They are gauges in which one can construct a perturbed metric in the vacuum spacetime outside the particle from ψ_0 or ψ_4, and for circular orbits this can be done by an algebraic inversion. The resulting metric, however, lacks the non-radiative contributions from the changes δM and δJ in mass and angular momentum, and from the change in the center of mass. We write the metric perturbations corresponding to δM and δJ in the Kerr gauge, the result of changing a and M in the Kerr metric written in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates. It is in this modified radiation gauge that we compute $\Delta\Omega$ and ΔU.

We believe that the numerical results given here are accurate to better than one part in 10^8, consistent with our earlier Schwarzschild computation. Numerical values we reported in an earlier eprint version of this paper had significant inaccuracy, due to an oversight in our computation of the singular field, found after two comparisons. We performed a detailed comparison of our results for $H^{\rm ren}$ with corresponding post-Newtonian values found by A. Le Tiec; and we compared a few values of $H^{\rm ren}$ with those of S. Dolan, who has completed another EMRI calculation of $H^{\rm ren}$. Dolan's calculation uses a Lorenz gauge and an effective-source renormalization method (see, e.g. [8–11]). Where our initial error led to a numerical error of about 5% (with about twice that error for the the difference between

---

[*] Electronic address: abhay.shah@weizmann.ac.il
[†] Electronic address: friedman@uwm.edu
[‡] Electronic address: tobias.keidl@uwc.edu

H for Schwarzschild and for Kerr), Dolan's present accuracy is about 1%. [1]

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II A, after a brief review of the Teukolsky equation and associated formalism, we obtain the analytic form of the Weyl scalar $\psi_0^{\rm ret}$, expressed as a sum over spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics. In II B we review the construction of the metric perturbation in a radiation gauge, using a Hertz potential constructed algebraically from ψ_0. We describe the mode sum renormalization, pointing out a difference, not present in our Schwarzschild computation, between the singular field at subleading order in a Lorenz gauge and in our radiation gauge. In Sec. II C, we first review two different series expansions for spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics, one as a sum of Jacobi polynomials, the other as a sum over spin-weighted spherical harmonics. We check the accuracy of the angular harmonics by computing them using these two different expansions. We then obtain explicit expressions for the values of the harmonics ${}_1Y_{\ell m}$ and ${}_2Y_{\ell m}$ at $\theta = \pi/2$ (the plane of the particle's orbit). In Sec. II D we review the numerical construction of solutions to the radial Teukolsky equation, by direct numerical integration and by numerical integration of the Sasaki-Nakamura equation. Again we check the accuracy of our computation of the radial harmonics and the angular harmonics of $\psi_0^{\rm ret}$ obtained in these two independent ways. In Sec. III we compute the tetrad components of the perturbed metric from the Hertz potential. In Sec. IV we find expressions for the change ΔU in the renormalized redshift factor at fixed Ω and the corresponding change in the angular velocity at fixed U; each is simply related to the quantity $H^{\rm ren} = \frac{1}{2} h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha u^\beta$. In Sec. V we present the computation of the retarded component of the lower multipoles in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates. In Sec. VI, we present the numerical results for $H^{\rm ren}$, ΔU and $\Delta\Omega$. Finally, in Sec. VII, we briefly discuss our results and future work.

II. REVIEW AND COMPUTATION OF $\psi_0^{\rm ret}$

A. Formalism

We work in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates where the Kerr metric is given by

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma}\right) dt^2 + \frac{4Mar\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma} dt d\phi - \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 - \Sigma d\theta^2 - \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2Mra^2\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma}\right)\sin^2\theta d\phi^2, \tag{1}$$

with $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2$ and $\Sigma = r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta$. The Kinnersley tetrad vectors have components

$$\begin{aligned} l^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\Delta}\left(r^2 + a^2, \Delta, 0, a\right), \\ n^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2\Sigma}\left(r^2 + a^2, -\Delta, 0, a\right), \\ m^\alpha &= \frac{-\bar\varrho}{\sqrt{2}}\left(ia\sin\theta, 0, 1, \frac{i}{\sin\theta}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and we denote by $\boldsymbol{D}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ the derivative operators along the tetrad vectors l^α, n^α and m^α, respectively. The non-vanishing spin coefficients associated with this tetrad are

$$\varrho = \frac{-1}{r - ia\cos\theta}, \quad \beta = \frac{-\bar\varrho\cot\theta}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad \varpi = \frac{ia\varrho^2\sin\theta}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \tau = \frac{-ia\sin\theta}{\sqrt{2}\Sigma}, \quad \mu = \frac{\Delta\varrho}{2\Sigma}, \quad \gamma = \mu + \frac{(r-M)}{2\Sigma}, \quad \alpha = \varpi - \bar\beta. \tag{3}$$

Consider a particle of mass $\mathfrak{m}$ orbiting a Kerr black hole in circular, equatorial orbit with radial coordinate $r = r_0$. As noted in the introduction, the particle's velocity is tangent to a helical Killing vector,

$$u^\alpha = u^t k^\alpha, \tag{4}$$

where

$$k^\alpha = t^\alpha + \Omega\phi^\alpha, \tag{5}$$

---

[1] Dolan's results are to be reported in a paper in preparation coauthored by L. Barack and B. Wardell.

with ϕ^α and t^α the rotational and asymptotically timelike Killing vectors of the Kerr geometry and Ω the particle's angular velocity measured by an observer at infinity. For a circular geodesic, the values of u^t and Ω are

$$\begin{aligned} u^t &= \frac{r_0^{3/2} \pm M^{1/2} a}{\sqrt{r_0^3 - 3Mr_0^2 \pm 2aM^{1/2} r_0^{3/2}}}, \\ \Omega &= \frac{\pm M^{1/2}}{r_0^{3/2} \pm aM^{1/2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where the upper (lower) sign correspond to direct (retrograde) orbits and the corresponding stress-energy tensor is given by

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{u^t r_0^2} u^\alpha u^\beta \delta(r - r_0)\delta(\theta - \pi/2)\delta(\phi - \Omega t). \tag{7}$$

The perturbed spin-2 Weyl scalar, $\psi_0 = -C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} l^\alpha m^\beta l^\gamma m^\delta$, satisfies the Teukolsky equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{(r^2+a^2)^2}{\Delta} - a^2 \sin^2\theta\right] \frac{\partial^2 \psi_0}{\partial t^2} + \frac{4Mar}{\Delta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_0}{\partial t \partial \phi} + \left[\frac{a^2}{\Delta} - \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}\right] \frac{\partial^2 \psi_0}{\partial \phi^2} - \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\Delta^3 \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial r}\right) - \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \theta}\right) \\ &- 4\left[\frac{a(r-M)}{\Delta} + \frac{i\cos\theta}{\sin^2\theta}\right] \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \phi} + 2(2\cot^2\theta - 1)\psi_0 - 4\left[\frac{M(r^2-a^2)}{\Delta} - r - ia\cos\theta\right] \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial t} = 8\pi\Sigma T, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

whose source T is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T :=& -(\boldsymbol{\delta} + \bar{\varpi} - \bar{\alpha} - 3\beta - 4\tau)(\boldsymbol{\delta} + \bar{\varpi} - 2\bar{\alpha} - 2\beta) T_{\mathbf{11}} \\ &+ [(\boldsymbol{\delta} + \bar{\varpi} - \bar{\alpha} - 3\beta - 4\tau)(\boldsymbol{D} - 2\bar{\varrho}) + (\boldsymbol{D} - 4\varrho - \bar{\varrho})(\boldsymbol{\delta} + 2\bar{\varpi} - 2\beta)] T_{\mathbf{13}} \\ &- (\boldsymbol{D} - 4\varrho - \bar{\varrho})(\boldsymbol{D} - \bar{\varrho}) T_{\mathbf{33}} \\ =& \, T^{(0)} + T^{(1)} + T^{(2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where the $T^{(i)}$ label the three terms in the expression for T.

Because the Teukolsky equation is separable in the frequency domain, we can write ψ_0 as a sum of angular harmonics,

$$\psi_0 = \sum_{\ell,m} R_{\ell m}(r)\, {}_2S_{\ell m}(\theta) e^{im(\phi - \Omega t)}, \tag{10}$$

where we used the fact that, for circular orbits, harmonics $e^{im\phi}$ have frequency $\omega = m\Omega$. For $r \neq r_0$, the source vanishes, and $R_{\ell m}$ satisfies the radial equation

$$\Delta R''_{\ell m} + 6(r - M)R'_{\ell m} + \left(\frac{K^2 - 4iK(r-M)}{\Delta} + 8im\Omega r - \gamma^2 + 2m\gamma + 6 - {}_2E_{\ell m}\right) R_{\ell m} = 0. \tag{11}$$

The function ${}_2S_{\ell m}$ satisfies the angular equation

$$\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{d}{d\theta}\left(\sin\theta \frac{d}{d\theta}\, {}_2S_{\ell m}\right) + \left(\gamma^2 \cos^2\theta - 4\gamma\cos\theta - \frac{4m\cos\theta + 4 + m^2}{\sin^2\theta} + {}_2E_{\ell m}\right) {}_2S_{\ell m} = 0, \tag{12}$$

where $K = m\Omega(r^2 + a^2) - am$ and $\gamma = am\Omega$.

The tetrad components of the stress-energy tensor that enter the expression for T in Eq. (8) are

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathbf{11}} &= \frac{\mathfrak{m}(1 - a\Omega)^2 u^t}{r_0^2} \delta(r - r_0)\delta(\theta - \pi/2)\delta(\phi - \Omega t), \\ T_{\mathbf{13}} &= \frac{i\mathfrak{m}(1 - a\Omega)\left(a - \Omega(r_0^2 + a^2)\right) u^t}{\sqrt{2} r_0^3} \delta(r - r_0)\delta(\theta - \pi/2)\delta(\phi - \Omega t), \\ T_{\mathbf{33}} &= \frac{-\mathfrak{m}\left(a - \Omega(r_0^2 + a^2)\right)^2 u^t}{2 r_0^4} \delta(r - r_0)\delta(\theta - \pi/2)\delta(\phi - \Omega t). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using Eqs. (2) to write the derivative operators and Eqs. (3) for the spin coefficients, we obtain explicit forms for the source terms $T^{(i)}$ of Eq. (9). Defining the quantities

$$q := \frac{m\left[a - \Omega\left(r^2 + a^2\right)\right]}{\Delta}, \qquad q_0 := q|_{r=r_0} = \frac{m\left[a - \Omega\left(r_0^2 + a^2\right)\right]}{\Delta_0}, \tag{14}$$

and writing

$$\delta(\phi - \Omega t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{im(\phi - \Omega t)}, \tag{15}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\mathfrak{m} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\varrho}^2}{r_0^2}(1 - a\Omega)^2 u^t e^{im(\phi-\Omega t)} \Bigg\{ & \delta''(\theta - \pi/2) \\ & + \left[ma\Omega(1 + \sin\theta) - m - \frac{m}{\sin\theta} - \cot\theta + \frac{ia}{r_0} - 4ia\varrho\sin\theta - ia\bar{\varrho}\sin\theta\right]\delta'(\theta - \pi/2) \\ & + \left[m(1 - a\Omega) - i\frac{a}{r_0}\right]\left[m(1 - a\Omega) - 5i\frac{a}{r_0}\right]\delta(\theta - \pi/2)\Bigg\}\delta(r - r_0), \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(1)} = -\frac{i}{4\pi}\mathfrak{m} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\varrho}}{r_0^3}(1 - a\Omega)\left[a - \Omega(r_0^2 + a^2)\right] u^t e^{im(\phi-\Omega t)} & \Bigg\{ 2\delta'(r - r_0)\delta'(\theta - \pi/2) \\ + 2\left(\frac{1}{r_0} - 2\varrho + iq_0\right)\delta(r - r_0)\delta'(\theta - \pi/2) & + \left(2am\Omega - 2m + \frac{4ia}{r} - \frac{2ia}{r_0}\right)\delta'(r - r_0)\delta(\theta - \pi/2) \\ + \left[\left(am\Omega - m + \frac{4ia}{r_0}\right)\left(\frac{2}{r_0} + iq_0\right) + \left(am\Omega - m - \frac{2ia}{r_0}\right)\left(\frac{4}{r_0} + iq_0\right)\right] & \delta(r - r_0)\delta(\theta - \pi/2)\Bigg\}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\mathfrak{m} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_0^4}\left[a - \Omega\left(r_0^2 + a^2\right)\right]^2 u^t e^{im(\phi-\Omega t)} & \left[\!\left[-\delta''(r - r_0) \right.\right. \\ - \left\{i(q + q_0) + \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{5}{r}\right\}\delta'(r - r_0) - \left(\frac{5}{r_0} + iq_0\right)\left(\frac{1}{r_0} + iq_0\right) & \left.\left.\delta(r - r_0)\right]\!\right]\delta(\theta - \pi/2). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

To compute ψ_0^{ret}, it is useful to define a Green's function $G_{\ell m}(r, r')$ as a solution to

$$\Delta G''_{\ell m} + 6(r - M)G'_{\ell m} + \left[\frac{K^2 - 4iK(r - M)}{\Delta} + 8im\Omega r - \gamma^2 + 2m\gamma + 6 - {}_2E_{\ell m}\right]G_{\ell m} = \delta(r - r'), \tag{19}$$

namely

$$G_{\ell m}(r, r') = A_{\ell m}\Delta'^2 R_H(r_<)R_\infty(r_>), \tag{20}$$

$$\text{where} \quad A_{\ell m} := \frac{1}{\Delta^3(R_H R'_\infty - R_\infty R'_H)}. \tag{21}$$

Here R_H and R_∞ are two linearly independent solutions to the radial equation that are, respectively, ingoing at the future horizon and outgoing at future null infinity, and we have suppressed the ℓm indices on R_H and R_∞. With $G_{\ell m}$ so defined, the full Green's function that satisfies the Teukolsky equation with source $\delta(r - r')\delta(\cos\theta - \cos\theta')\delta(\phi - \phi')$ is given by

$$G(x, x') = \sum_{\ell m} A_{\ell m}\Delta'^2 R_H(r_<)R_\infty(r_>)\, {}_2S_{\ell m}(\theta)\, {}_2S_{\ell m}(\theta')e^{im(\phi-\phi')}, \tag{22}$$

where we denote by x the spatial point with coordinates r, θ, ϕ and where $\Delta' = r'^2 - 2Mr' + a^2$. Hence, the Weyl scalar that satisfies the Teukolsky equation has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_0 &= -8\pi \int \Sigma' T(x', x_0) G(x, x') dr' d(\cos\theta') d\phi' \\
&= -8\pi \int \Sigma' (T^{(0)} + T^{(1)} + T^{(2)}) G(x, x') dr' d(\cos\theta') d\phi' \\
&=: \psi_0^{(0)} + \psi_0^{(1)} + \psi_0^{(2)}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}
$$

with $\Sigma' = r'^2 + a^2 \cos^2\theta'$. The $\psi^{(i)}$ have, for $r \neq r_0$, the explicit forms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_0^{(0)} &= \frac{4\pi\mathfrak{m}(1-a\Omega)^2\Delta_0^2 u^t}{r_0^2} \sum_{\ell m} A_{\ell m} R_H(r_<) R_\infty(r_>) \, {}_2S_{\ell m}(\theta) e^{im(\phi - \Omega t)} \\
&\left[S_0'' + \frac{2iaS_0'}{r_0} - 2S_0 + 2m(a\Omega - 1)S_0' + \frac{2iam(a\Omega-1)S_0}{r_0} + m^2(a\Omega-1)^2 S_0 \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{24}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_0^{(1)} &= \frac{8\pi i\mathfrak{m}(1-a\Omega)\left[a - \Omega(r_0^2+a^2)\right] u^t}{2r_0^3} \sum_{\ell m} A_{\ell m} \, {}_2S_{\ell m}(\theta) e^{im(\phi-\Omega t)} \\
&\Bigg[\!\!\Bigg[2\Delta_0^2 \left[r_0 S_0' - iaS_0 + r_0 S_0 \left(am\Omega - m + \frac{ia}{r_0} \right) \right] \left[R_H'(r_0) R_\infty(r) \Theta(r - r_0) + R_\infty'(r_0) R_H(r) \Theta(r_0 - r) \right] \\
&+ \Bigg\{ 2\Delta_0 \left[S_0'(5r_0^2 - 6Mr_0 + a^2) - 4iaS_0(r_0 - M) \right] - 2\Delta_0^2 (r_0 S_0' - iaS_0) \left[\frac{im\left[a - \Omega\left(r_0^2 + a^2\right)\right]}{\Delta_0} + \frac{3}{r_0} \right] \\
&\quad - S_0 r_0 \Delta_0^2 \left[\left(am\Omega - m + \frac{4ia}{r_0} \right) \left(\frac{im\left[a - \Omega\left(r_0^2+a^2\right)\right]}{\Delta_0} + \frac{2}{r_0} \right) \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + \left(\frac{im\left[a - \Omega\left(r_0^2+a^2\right)\right]}{\Delta_0} + \frac{4}{r_0} \right) \left(am\Omega - m - \frac{2ia}{r_0} \right) \right] \\
&\quad + 2\Delta_0 S_0 \left(am\Omega - m + \frac{ia}{r_0} \right) (5r_0^2 - 6Mr_0 + a^2) - \frac{8iaS_0\Delta_0^2}{r_0} \Bigg\} R_H(r_<) R_\infty(r_>) \Bigg]\!\!\Bigg],
\end{aligned} \tag{25}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_0^{(2)} &= \frac{4\pi\mathfrak{m}u^t \left(a - \Omega(r_0^2+a^2)\right)^2}{r_0^4} \sum_{\ell m} A_{\ell m} \, {}_2S_{\ell m}(\theta) \, {}_2S_{\ell m}(\pi/2) e^{im(\phi-\Omega t)} \times \\
&\Bigg[\!\!\Bigg[r_0^2 \Delta_0^2 \left\{ R_H''(r_0) R_\infty(r) \Theta(r - r_0) + R_\infty''(r_0) R_H(r) \Theta(r_0 - r) \right\} \\
&\quad + \left\{ 4r_0\Delta_0(3r_0^2 - 4Mr_0 + a^2) - 2r_0^2\Delta_0^2 \left[\frac{im\left[a - \Omega\left(r_0^2+a^2\right)\right]}{\Delta_0} + \frac{3}{r_0} \right] \right\} \times \\
&\qquad\qquad \left\{ R_H'(r_0) R_\infty(r) \Theta(r - r_0) + R_\infty'(r_0) R_H(r) \Theta(r_0 - r) \right\} \\
&\quad + \Bigg\{ r_0^2 \Delta_0^2 \left[\frac{im\left[a - \Omega\left(r_0^2+a^2\right)\right]}{\Delta_0} + \frac{5}{r_0} \right] \left[\frac{im\left[a - \Omega\left(r_0^2+a^2\right)\right]}{\Delta_0} + \frac{1}{r_0} \right] \\
&\quad - r_0^2 \Delta_0^2 \left[\frac{-5}{r_0^2} - \frac{2im\Omega r_0}{\Delta_0} - \frac{2im(r_0 - M)(a - \Omega(r_0^2+a^2))}{\Delta_0^2} \right] \\
&\quad - 4r_0\Delta_0(3r_0^2 - 4Mr_0 + a^2) \left[\frac{im\left[a - \Omega\left(r_0^2+a^2\right)\right]}{\Delta_0} + \frac{3}{r_0} \right] \\
&\quad + 2(3r_0^2 - 4Mr_0 + a^2)^2 + 4r_0\Delta_0(3r_0 - 2M) \Bigg\} R_H(r_<) R_\infty(r_>) \Bigg]\!\!\Bigg],
\end{aligned} \tag{26}
$$

where $\Delta_0 = r_0^2 - 2Mr_0 + a^2$, $S_0 = {}_2S_{\ell m}(\pi/2)$; S_0' and S_0'' are derivatives of ${}_2S_{\ell m}$ with respect to $\cos\theta$ evaluated at $\theta = \pi/2$.

B. Metric perturbation and $H^{\rm ren}$ in a radiation gauge

Before presenting the detailed calculation of H, ΔU and $\Delta\Omega$, it will be helpful briefly to recall parts of the radiation-gauge method that we will use. In the CCK procedure [12–16] a perturbed vacuum metric is constructed from a spin 2 Hertz potential Ψ that satisfies

$$\psi_0 = \frac{1}{8}\left[\mathcal{L}^4\bar{\Psi} + 12M\partial_t\Psi\right], \tag{27}$$

where $\mathcal{L}^4 = \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_0\mathcal{L}_{-1}\mathcal{L}_{-2}$ with $\mathcal{L}_s = \eth_s - ia\sin\theta\partial_t$ and $\eth_s = -\left[\partial_\theta + i\csc\theta\partial_\phi - s\cot\theta\right]$. Using Eq (10) and Eq (40) of [1], we obtain

$$\Psi \;=\; 8\sum_{\ell m}\frac{(-1)^m D\bar{R}_{\ell m} + 12imM\Omega R_{\ell m}}{D^2 + 144M^2m^2\Omega^2}e^{im(\phi-\Omega t)}\;{}_2S_{\ell m}(\theta), \tag{28}$$

where $D^2 = \lambda_{CH}^2(\lambda_{CH}+2)^2 + 8a\omega(m-a\omega)\lambda_{CH}(5\lambda_{CH}+6) + 48a^2\omega^2[2\lambda_{CH}+3(m-a\omega)^2]$ and λ_{CH}, the angular eigenvalue used by Chandrasekhar [17], is related to the separation constant ${}_2E_{\ell m}$ of Eq. (11) by $\lambda_{CH} = {}_2E_{\ell m} + \gamma^2 - 2m\gamma - 2$. The perturbed metric is then given (up to parts for which ψ_0 vanishes) by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; & \varrho^{-4}\{\, n_\alpha n_\beta(\bar{\boldsymbol{\delta}} - 3\alpha - \bar{\beta} + 5\pi)(\bar{\boldsymbol{\delta}} - 4\alpha + \pi) + \bar{m}_\alpha\bar{m}_\beta(\boldsymbol{\Delta} + 5\mu - 3\gamma + \bar{\gamma})(\boldsymbol{\Delta} + \mu - 4\gamma) \\ & - n_{(\alpha}\bar{m}_{\beta)}\left[(\bar{\boldsymbol{\delta}} - 3\alpha + \bar{\beta} + 5\pi + \bar{\tau})(\boldsymbol{\Delta} + \mu - 4\gamma) + (\boldsymbol{\Delta} + 5\mu - \bar{\mu} - 3\gamma - \bar{\gamma})(\bar{\boldsymbol{\delta}} - 4\alpha + \pi)\right]\}\Psi + \text{c.c.}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

To describe the way one renormalizes the metric in a radiation gauge, it is helpful to begin with the Detweiler-Whiting [18] decomposition of the metric in a Lorenz gauge. This has the form $h^{\rm ret,Lor}_{\alpha\beta} = h^{\rm S,Lor}_{\alpha\beta} + h^{\rm ren,Lor}_{\alpha\beta}$, with $h^{\rm ren,Lor}_{\alpha\beta}$ a smooth solution to the vacuum Einstein equation in a neighborhood of the particle. Because the perturbed Weyl scalar ψ_0 is gauge invariant, when computed from this decomposed form of $h^{\rm ret,Lor}_{\alpha\beta}$, it has the gauge-invariant decomposition $\psi_0^{\rm ret} = \psi_0^S + \psi_0^{\rm ren}$, with $\psi_0^{\rm ren}$ a smooth sourcefree solution to the $s = 2$ Teukolsky equation. There is then a smooth Hertz potential $\Psi^{\rm ren}$ satisfying the sourcefree $s = 2$ Teukolsky equation with $h^{\rm ren,ORG}_{\alpha\beta}$ given in terms of $\Psi^{\rm ren}$ by Eq. (29). Here $h^{\rm ren,ORG}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the reconstructed perturbed metric up to terms involving changes in the mass, angular momentum, and center of mass. (These are metric perturbations for which ψ_0 vanishes, and they correspond to the $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ parts of the perturbation for a Schwarzschild background.) Adding these perturbations in an arbitrary gauge that is smoothly related to the Lorenz gauge yields the full perturbed metric in a modified radiation gauge.

We will use this general description at the end of Sec. III, but in renormalizing $H^{\rm ret} = \frac{1}{2}h^{\rm ret}_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha u^\beta$, we exploit its invariance under gauge transformations generated by helically symmetric gauge vectors. As in our Schwarzschild paper [4], this allows us to use the generic Lorenz-gauge singular behavior of the metric perturbation for the leading term in the singular field. A difference between the singular field in our radiation-gauge and in the Lorenz-gauge arises at subleading order in the angular harmonic index ℓ from a gauge vector that is singular at the position of the particle, and this is discussed below. The mode-sum renormalization of the metric is described, for example, in Sec. IV of [2] and we briefly review its relevant features. (It is based on the Barack-Ori mode-sum version of the MiSaTaQuWa renormalization [19, 20] that is reviewed in detail in [21].) The components $h^{\rm ret,Lor}_{\mu\nu}$ of the perturbed retarded metric along an orthonormal frame have the singular behavior of a Coulomb field, proportional to ρ^{-1}, where ρ is the geodesic distance to the particle trajectory. This implies that $H^{\rm ret,Lor}$ has the same Coulomb singular behavior. The angular harmonics $H^{\rm ret,Lor}_{\ell m}Y_{\ell m}$ of $H^{\rm ret,Lor}$ then have finite limits $H_{\ell m} = \lim_{r\to r_0} H^{\rm ret,Lor}_{\ell m}(t=0,r)$ on a sphere through the trajectory of the particle. At the position of the particle, the projection

$$H^{\rm ret,Lor}_\ell = \sum_m H_{\ell m}Y_{\ell m}(\pi/2, 0) \tag{30}$$

onto the ℓth subspace has the form

$$H^{\rm ret,Lor}_\ell = H^{\rm S,Lor}_\ell + H^{\rm ren}_\ell, \tag{31}$$

where $H^{\rm ren}_\ell$, the value of the renormalized field, falls off faster than any power of ℓ. The singular field $H^{\rm S,Lor}$ has ℓ-dependence

$$H^{\rm S,Lor}_\ell = E_0L^0 + O(L^{-2}), \tag{32}$$

with E_0 independent of L; and the sum over ℓ of the $O(L^{-2})$ terms vanishes. That is, $H^{\rm S} = H^{\rm s} + O(\rho)$, where $H_\ell^{\rm S} = E_0$. The value of $H^{\rm ren}$ is then given by

$$\lim_{\ell_{\max}\to\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\ell_{\max}} H_\ell^{\rm ren} = \lim_{\ell_{\max}\to\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\ell_{\max}} (H_\ell^{\rm ret} - H_\ell^{\rm s}). \tag{33}$$

In our radiation gauge, we will find that, although $H_\ell^{\rm S,ORG}$ again has the form

$$H_\ell^{\rm S,ORG} = E_0 L^0 + O(L^{-2}), \tag{34}$$

with E_0 agreeing with its value for a Lorenz gauge, the $O(L^{-2})$ part gives a contribution of order ρ^0 that is odd under parity in a hypersurface orthogonal to the trajectory: In particular, the sum of the $O(\rho^0)$ contributions from the limits $r \to r_0^+$ and $r \to r_0^-$ vanishes.

The loss of gauge invariance at subleading order follows from the way one proves invariance of $H^{\rm ren}$ for a helically symmetric gauge vector ξ^α that is differentiable at the position of the particle (implying, in particular, $H^{\rm ren,Lor} = H^{\rm ren,ORG}$). Under a gauge transformation with u^α fixed, H changes by $\frac{1}{2}\pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} g_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha u^\beta = \nabla_\alpha \xi_\beta u^\alpha u^\beta$. From Eqs. (4) and (5) for u^α, we have

$$\nabla_\alpha \xi_\beta u^\alpha u^\beta = u^t u^\beta k^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \xi_\beta = u^t u^\beta (\pounds_{\boldsymbol{k}} \xi_\beta - \xi_\alpha \nabla_\beta k^\alpha) = -\xi_\alpha u^\beta \nabla_\beta u^\alpha = 0, \tag{35}$$

where we have used the geodesic equation in the last equality. Now u^α, as defined by Eq. (4), satisfies the geodesic equation only on the particle's trajectory; for points a geodesic distance ρ from the trajectory, it satisfies

$$u^\beta \nabla_\beta u^\alpha = O(\rho). \tag{36}$$

The gauge vector ξ^α relating a Lorenz gauge to our radiation gauge diverges as $\log\rho$ near $\rho = 0$ [22], leading to a term of order ρ^0 in Eq. (35). We defer to Sec. IV, in which the explicit analytic construction of the perturbed metric is presented, the discussion of the parity of this term.

In the actual computation, as described in Sec. (VI), we use spin-weighted spherical harmonics instead of ordinary spherical harmonics for parts of the metric with different spin weights, and we then check that the resulting leading term in the singular field – the value of E_0 – agrees with its value computed analytically within a Lorenz-gauge framework by Linz [23].

C. Numerical methods - Angular harmonics

This section describes two different series expressions for spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics that we use for two different purposes: First, following Fackerell and Crossman [24], we write each spin-weighted spheroidal harmonic as a sum of Jacobi polynomials. The formalism provides an accurate way to evaluate the spheroidal harmonics and the angular eigenvalues on which both the angular harmonics and the radial functions $R_{\ell m}$ depend. Second, to renormalize the metric and the self-force, we write each spin-weighted spheroidal harmonic as sum of spin-weighted spherical harmonics. Although the present paper uses only harmonics ${}_sS_{\ell m} := {}_sS_{\ell m\omega}|_{\omega=m\Omega}$, the formalism is developed for ${}_sS_{\ell m\omega}$, with no restriction on ω.

For $\gamma = a\omega$, the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics satisfy the eigenvalue equation

$$\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{d}{d\theta}\left(\sin\theta\frac{d}{d\theta}\ {}_sS_{\ell m\omega}\right) + \left[\gamma^2\cos^2\theta - 2s\gamma\cos\theta - \frac{2ms\cos\theta + s^2 + m^2}{\sin^2\theta} + {}_sE_{\ell m\omega}\right] {}_sS_{\ell m\omega} = 0, \tag{37}$$

for a Teukolsky-equation source with time-dependence $e^{i\omega t}$. The eigenvalue ${}_sE_{\ell m\omega}$ is a continuous function of γ that takes the value $\ell(\ell+1)$ when $\gamma = 0$. (Note that the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics and their eigenvalues depend on ω only via γ, so the conventional use of the index ω instead of γ is slightly misleading.)

For fixed s and γ, with γ real, the eigenfunctions are complete and orthogonal on the sphere, satisfying

$$\delta(\cos\theta - \cos\theta')\delta(\phi - \phi') \ = \ \sum_{\ell m} {}_sS_{\ell m\omega}(\theta) e^{im\phi}\ {}_sS_{\ell m\omega}(\theta') e^{-im\phi'}, \tag{38}$$

$$\int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} {}_sS_{\ell m\omega}(\theta) e^{im\phi}\ {}_sS_{\ell' m'\omega}(\theta) e^{im'\phi} \sin\theta d\theta d\phi \ = \ \delta_{\ell,\ell'}\delta_{m,m'}. \tag{39}$$

When $\gamma = 0$, ${}_sS_{\ell m\omega}(\theta)e^{im\phi}$ becomes the spin-weighted spherical harmonic ${}_sY_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi)$, given by

$$
{}_sY_{\ell m} = \begin{cases} \left[(\ell-s)!/(\ell+s)!\right]^{1/2} \eth^s Y_{\ell m}, & 0 \le s \le \ell, \\ (-1)^s \left[(\ell+s)!/(\ell-s)!\right]^{1/2} \bar{\eth}^{-s} Y_{\ell m}, & -\ell \le s \le 0, \end{cases} \tag{40}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eth\eta &= -\left(\partial_\theta + i\csc\theta\partial_\phi - s\cot\theta\right)\eta, \\
\bar{\eth}\eta &= -\left(\partial_\theta - i\csc\theta\partial_\phi + s\cot\theta\right)\eta
\end{aligned} \tag{41}
$$

where $\eth$ and $\bar{\eth}$ are, respectively, raising and lowering operators for the spin-weight, and η is a quantity of spin-weight s.

1. *Spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics as a sum over Jacobi Polynomials*

The formalism that expresses the angular harmonic ${}_sS_{\ell m\omega}$ in terms of Jacobi polynomials involves several constants that depend on parameters s, ℓ, m, and γ whose values are fixed in this section. To avoid encumbering a large number of symbols with the four indices s, m, ℓ, ω, in this and the next subsections (Sects. II C 1 and II C 2) we suppress the indices, so that, for example the angular harmonic and its corresponding eigenvalue will be written as

$$
S \equiv {}_sS_{\ell m\omega}, \qquad E := {}_sE_{\ell m\omega}. \tag{42}
$$

To calculate the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics, their derivatives and eigenvalue, we set $x := \cos\theta$ and write the homogenous angular equation, Eq. (37), as follows:

$$
(1-x^2)\frac{d^2S}{dx^2} - 2x\frac{dS}{dx} + \left(\gamma^2x^2 - 2\gamma sx - \frac{m^2+s^2+2msx}{1-x^2} + E\right)S = 0, \tag{43}
$$

The eigenfunctions' dominant behavior at $x = \pm 1$ is $(1 \mp x)^{|m\pm s|/2}$. Following the formalism (and notation) of Fackerell and Crossman [24], we introduce $\alpha = |m+s|$ and $\beta = |m-s|$ for simplicity and introduce new functions U and V, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(x) &= e^{\gamma x}\left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^\beta U(x), && (44)\\
S(x) &= e^{-\gamma x}\left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^\beta V(x). && (45)
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions U and V satisfy the following differential equation (where the upper sign is used if $F = U$, the lower sign if $F = V$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(1-x^2)\partial_x^2F + [\beta - \alpha - x(2+\alpha+\beta) \pm 2\gamma(1-x^2)]\partial_xF \\
&+\left[E + \gamma^2 - \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}+1\right) \pm \gamma(\beta-\alpha) \mp \gamma x(\alpha+\beta+2\pm 2s)\right]F = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{46}
$$

The above differential equation is closely related to that of the Jacobi polynomial given by the Rodgrigues formula

$$
P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!}(1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta}\partial_x^n\left[(1-x)^{\alpha+n}(1+x)^{\beta+n}\right], \tag{47}
$$

which satisfies

$$
\left[(1-x^2)\partial_x^2 + [\beta-\alpha-x(\alpha+\beta+2)]\partial_x + n(n+1+\alpha+\beta)\right]P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)} = 0. \tag{48}
$$

Expanding the functions U and V as infinite series of Jacobi polynomials,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(x) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} A^{(r)} P_r^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x), \\
V(x) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} B^{(r)} P_r^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{49}
$$

and using the recurrence relations satisfied by the Jacobi polynomials, we get the following two recurrence relations for $A^{(r)}$ and $B^{(r)}$ (where the upper sign is used if $G = A$ and the lower if $G = B$):

$$\left[E + \gamma^2 - \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}+1\right) + \frac{2s\gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\alpha+\beta+2}\right] G^{(0)} \pm \left[\frac{4\gamma(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}+1\mp s\right)}{(\alpha+\beta+2)(\alpha+\beta+3)}\right] G^{(1)} = 0, \tag{50}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\pm\frac{4\gamma(r+\alpha+1)(r+\beta+1)\left(r+1\mp s+\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)}{(2r+\alpha+\beta+2)(2r+\alpha+\beta+3)}\right] G^{(r+1)} \mp \left[\frac{4\gamma r(r+\alpha+\beta)\left(r\pm s+\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)}{(2r+\alpha+\beta-1)(2r+\alpha+\beta)}\right] G^{(r-1)} \\ + \left[E+\gamma^2-\left(r+\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)\left(r+\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}+1\right)+\frac{2\gamma s(\alpha-\beta)(\alpha+\beta)}{(2r+\alpha+\beta)(2r+\alpha+\beta+2)}\right] G^{(r)} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Determination of ${}_sE^{\gamma}_{\ell m}$

For the series in Jacobi polynomial to converge, the constant E should satisfy a transcendental equation. To enforce this, we define the following quantities:

$$N^{(r)} = \frac{2\gamma(r+\alpha)(r+\beta)(2r+\alpha+\beta-2s)}{(2r+\alpha+\beta)(2r+\alpha+\beta+1)}\frac{A^{(r)}}{A^{(r-1)}} \tag{52}$$

$$K^{(r)} = \left(r+\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)\left(r+\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}+1\right) - \gamma^2 - \frac{2\gamma s(\alpha-\beta)(\alpha+\beta)}{(2r+\alpha+\beta)(2r+\alpha+\beta+2)} \tag{53}$$

$$L^{(r)} = \frac{4r\gamma^2(r+\alpha)(r+\beta)(r+\alpha+\beta)(2r+\alpha+\beta+2s)(2r+\alpha+\beta-2s)}{(2r+\alpha+\beta)^2(2r+\alpha+\beta+1)(2r+\alpha+\beta-1)} \tag{54}$$

where none of the $A^{(r)}$s vanish. Eqs. (50) and (51) may be written as

$$N^{(1)} - K^{(0)} + E = 0 \tag{55}$$

and

$$N^{(r+1)} = K^{(r)} - E + \frac{L^{(r)}}{N^{(r)}} \tag{56}$$

which by iterating gives us

$$N^{(r+1)} = K^{(r)} - E + \cfrac{L^{(r)}}{K^{(r-1)} - E + \cfrac{L^{(r-1)}}{K^{(r-2)} - E + \cfrac{L^{(r-2)}}{K^{(r-3)} - E + \cfrac{L^{(r-3)}}{K^{(r-4)} - E + \cdots + \cfrac{L^{(1)}}{K^{(0)} - E}}}}} \tag{57}$$

Equation (56) can also be written as

$$N^{(r)} = \frac{L^{(r)}}{E - K^{(r)} + N^{(r+1)}} \tag{58}$$

which would then give us

$$N^{(r+1)} = -\cfrac{L^{(r+1)}}{K^{(r+1)} - E + \cfrac{L^{(r+2)}}{K^{(r+2)} - E + \cfrac{L^{(r+3)}}{K^{(r+3)} - E + \cfrac{L^{(r+4)}}{K^{(r+4)} - E + \cdots}}}}. \tag{59}$$

Equating Eqs. (57) and (59), we get a transcendental equation for E, namely

$$\begin{aligned} E = &K^{(r)} + \cfrac{L^{(r)}}{K^{(r-1)} - E + \cfrac{L^{(r-1)}}{K^{(r-2)} - E + \cfrac{L^{(r-2)}}{K^{(r-3)} - E + \cdots + \cfrac{L^{(1)}}{K^{(0)} - E}}}} \\ &+ \cfrac{L^{(r+1)}}{K^{(r+1)} - E + \cfrac{L^{(r+2)}}{K^{(r+2)} - E + \cfrac{L^{(r+3)}}{K^{(r+3)} - E + \cdots}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

We solve this in Mathematica to machine precision. Convergence of the infinite continued fraction in Eq. (59) is treated in the references mentioned in [24].

Normalization of $S(x)$

We have already expanded the $S(x)$ as a sum over Jacobi polynomials and have the recurrence relations for the coefficients in the expansion where all the coefficients depend on either $B^{(0)}$ or $A^{(0)}$ in Eq. (49). Now we have,

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) &= e^{\gamma x}\left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{\alpha/2}\left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{\beta/2}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} A^{(r)} P_r^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \\ S(x) &= e^{-\gamma x}\left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{\alpha/2}\left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{\beta/2}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} B^{(r)} P_r^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

For the eigenfunctions to be normalized, we need two equations for the two unknowns, $A^{(0)}$ and $B^{(0)}$. We get one from the Eqs. (44) and (45) which gives us

$$V = e^{2\gamma x} U. \tag{62}$$

Using Eq. (49) at $x = 1$, we have

$$\frac{B^{(0)}}{A^{(0)}} = e^{2\gamma}\left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{A^{(r)}}{A^{(0)}}\frac{(r+\alpha)!}{r!\alpha!}\right) \Big/ \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{B^{(r)}}{B^{(0)}}\frac{(r+\alpha)!}{r!\alpha!}\right). \tag{63}$$

The other equation is obtained by using

$$\int_{-1}^{1} S^2 dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \tag{64}$$

We use $(2\pi)^{-1}$ here so that $S(x)e^{im\phi}$ is normalized to 1. Using this normalization condition along with Eq. (61), we have

$$A^{(0)}B^{(0)}\sum_{n,r=0}^{\infty}\frac{A^{(n)}}{A^{(0)}}\frac{B^{(r)}}{B^{(0)}}\int_{-1}^{1}\left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{\beta} P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) P_r^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)dx = \frac{1}{2\pi}, \tag{65}$$

which gives us

$$A^{(0)}B^{(0)}\sum_{n,r=0}^{\infty}\frac{A^{(n)}}{A^{(0)}}\frac{B^{(r)}}{B^{(0)}}\frac{2}{2n+\alpha+\beta+1}\frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)}\delta_{n,r} = \frac{1}{2\pi}. \tag{66}$$

Hence, the second equation is

$$\frac{1}{A^{(0)}B^{(0)}} = 2\pi\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{A^{(n)}}{A^{(0)}}\frac{B^{(n)}}{B^{(0)}}\frac{2}{2n+\alpha+\beta+1}\frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)}. \tag{67}$$

The ratios $A^{(r)}/A^{(0)}$ and $B^{(r)}/B^{(0)}$ are easily calculated by using their recurrence relations Eqs. (50) and (51) and using $A^{(r)} = B^{(r)} = 0$ for $r < 0$. Therefore Eqs. (63) and (67) correctly determine the first coefficients in the expansion by choosing the $A^{(0)}$ whose real part is positive.

2. Spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics as a sum over ${}_sY_{\ell m}$

The spectral decomposition of spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics in terms of spin-weighted spherical harmonics as in [25], [26] has the form

$$S(\theta)e^{im\phi} = \sum_{j=\ell_{\min}}^{\infty} b_j\, {}_sY_{j,m}(\theta,\phi), \tag{68}$$

where $\ell_{\min} = \max(|\mathrm{m}|, |\mathrm{s}|)$. Substituting the above in Eq. (37), we have

$$\sum_j b_j \left[\gamma^2 \cos^2\theta - 2\gamma s \cos\theta - j(j+1)\right] \,{}_sY_{j,m} = -E \sum_j b_j \,{}_sY_{j,m}. \tag{69}$$

We now write $\cos^n\theta$ for $n = 0, 1, 2$ as sums of spherical harmonics. It is then easy to group them and the spin-weighted spherical harmonics as follows: From the relations

$$\cos\theta = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}} Y_{1,0}\,, \quad \cos^2\theta = \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}}{3\sqrt{5}} Y_{2,0} + \frac{1}{3}\,, \tag{70}$$

$$D^{j_1}_{\mu_1 m_1} D^{j_2}_{\mu_2 m_2} = \sum_{j,\mu,m} \langle j_1, \mu_1; j_2, \mu_2 | j, \mu\rangle \langle j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2 | j, m\rangle D^{j}_{\mu,m}\,, \tag{71}$$

and

$${}_sY_{\ell m} = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} D^{\ell}_{-s,m}, \tag{72}$$

we find (for $n = 0, 1, 2$)

$$\cos^n\theta \,{}_sY_{\ell m} = \frac{n}{2n-1} \sum_{j=\ell-n}^{\ell+n} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{2j+1}} \langle \ell, -s; n, 0 | j, -s\rangle \langle \ell, m; n, 0 | j, m\rangle \,{}_sY_{j,m} + \frac{\delta_{n,2}}{3} \,{}_sY_{\ell m} + \delta_{n,0} \,{}_sY_{\ell m}. \tag{73}$$

Multiplying Eq (69) with ${}_sY_{k,m}$, integrating over the 2-sphere, and using Eq (73) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & b_{k-2}\left[\gamma^2 c_{k-2,k,2}\right] + b_{k-1}\left[\gamma^2 c_{k-1,k,2} - 2s\gamma c_{k-1,k,1}\right] + b_k\left[\gamma^2 c_{k,k,2} - 2s\gamma c_{k,k,1} - k(k+1)\right] \\ & + b_{k+1}\left[\gamma^2 c_{k+1,k,2} - 2s\gamma c_{k+1,k,1}\right] + b_{k+2}\left[\gamma^2 c_{k+2,k,2}\right] = -E b_k, \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{k,j,2} &= \frac{\delta_{k,j}}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2k+1}} \langle j, m; 2, 0 | k, m\rangle \langle j, -s; 2, 0 | k, -s\rangle \\ c_{k,j,1} &= \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2k+1}} \langle j, m; 1, 0 | k, m\rangle \langle j, -s; 1, 0 | k, -s\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Eq. (74) can be written as a matrix equation where the b are the matrix's eigenvector and E are the eigenvalues. It is then easy to solve the matrix equation for the eigenvectors and eigenvalues as the matrix is band diagonal. Here $\langle j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2 | j, m\rangle$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

To calculate ${}_sY_{\ell m}$ to high precision, we used the following analytical forms of spin-weighted harmonics at $\theta = \pi/2$. Introducing the symbol $e_{\ell m} := \begin{cases} 1 & \ell + m \ \text{even} \\ 0, & \ell + m \ \text{odd}\,, \end{cases}$ we can write

$$Y_{\ell m}(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0) \;=\; (-1)^{(\ell+m)/2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell+1)}{4\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{(\ell-m)!(\ell+m)!}}{(\ell-m)!!(\ell+m)!!}\, e_{\ell m} \tag{76}$$

$${}_1Y_{\ell m}(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0) \;=\; (-1)^{(\ell+m)/2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell+1)(\ell-m)!(\ell+m)!}{4\pi\ell(\ell+1)}} \left[\frac{m\, e_{\ell,m}}{(\ell-m)!!(\ell+m)!!} - \frac{i\, e_{\ell,m+1}}{(\ell-m-1)!!(\ell+m-1)!!}\right], \tag{77}$$

$${}_2Y_{\ell m}(\frac{\pi}{2}, 0) \;=\; (-1)^{(\ell+m)/2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell+1)(\ell-m)!(\ell+m)!}{4\pi(\ell-1)\ell(\ell+1)(\ell+2)}} \left[\frac{\left[2m^2 - \ell(\ell+1)\right] e_{\ell,m}}{(\ell-m)!!(\ell+m)!!} - \frac{2im\, e_{\ell,m+1}}{(\ell-m-1)!!(\ell+m-1)!!}\right]. \tag{78}$$

Eq. (76) is quickly obtained from the corresponding equation for $P_\ell^m(0)$, given, for example, in Arfken and Weber [27]; the corresponding relations (77) and (78) for spin-weighted harmonics follow from their definition (40), with recurrence relations of associated Legendre polynomials used to eliminate θ derivatives.

Values of the angular harmonics ${}_2S_{\ell m\omega}$ at $(\theta, \phi) = (\pi/2, 0)$, computed as a sum of Jacobi polynomials and as a sum over spin-weighted spherical harmonics ${}_2Y_{\ell m}$ are listed in Table I to show the accuracy of our calculation of these angular eigenfunctions.

ℓ	m	a/M	r_0/M	${}_sS_{\ell,m}\ (P_r^{(\alpha,\beta)})$	${}_sS_{\ell,m}\ ({}_sY_{\ell,m})$
6	3	0.90	2.321	0.25240458701173892108	0.25240458701173889664
10	8	-0.80	8.432	-0.077523625602031470364	-0.077523625602031470355
15	-14	0.56	3.994	-0.39964402300714286677	-0.39964402300714286644
20	20	0.95	1.938	0.53866543681715165119	0.53866543680910100221
25	-24	0.75	3.159	-0.38677474564628361398	-0.38677474564628311527
30	1	0.69	3.439	0.018864751317113632621	0.018864751317113632621
35	-29	0.43	4.502	-0.12392842343512166756	-0.12392842343512166731
41	38	0.85	2.633	0.41329611968515525721	0.41329611968514376716
45	43	-0.42	7.315	-0.44047075216769495176	-0.44047075216769495195
50	-47	0.50	4.234	0.37391460514301075256	0.37391460514301011670
54	43	0.29	5.015	0.39632284051223687540	0.39632284051223687540
60	58	0.81	2.860	-0.46730374640820672293	-0.46730374640803870557
65	42	-0.40	7.255	-0.35895613544467811490	-0.35895613544467811490
70	-70	0.67	3.529	-0.83907652666117403240	-0.83907652666117381586
75	74	0.80	2.910	0.32010913665973882714	0.32010913665976780184
80	78	0.64	3.660	-0.54551806835154401208	-0.54551806835154351539
85	-85	0.55	5.555	-0.88887357826499020752	-0.88887357826499020734

TABLE I: For each listed value of ℓ, m, a and r_0, we give the value of ${}_2S^{\omega}_{\ell,m}$, obtained by using the formalism given in subsections (II C 1) and (II C 2), with $\gamma = a\,m\,\Omega = \frac{a\,m\,M^{1/2}}{r_0^{3/2}+a}$ and $\theta = \pi/2$. The fractional accuracy increases with increasing r_0, and all except the last five values of r_0 are chosen to be within a few percent of the innermost stable circular orbit for a given a.

D. Numerical methods - radial harmonics

1. Teukolsky equation

We integrate R_H and R_∞ from the horizon and infinity, respectively. The homogenous solutions R_H and R_∞ at the horizon and infinity are given by the following series

$$R_H = \frac{e^{-i\omega r_\star}}{\Delta^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \left(\frac{r-r_+}{M}\right)^n, \tag{79}$$

$$R_\infty = e^{i\omega r_\star}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_n}{(r/M)^{n+5}}, \tag{80}$$

where

$$r_\star = r + \frac{r_+^2+a^2}{r_+-r_-}\ln|r-r_+| - \frac{r_-^2+a^2}{r_+-r_-}\ln|r-r_-| \quad \text{and} \tag{81}$$

$$r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2-a^2}. \tag{82}$$

The expansion coefficients satisfy the following recurrence relations

$$\begin{aligned}
c_n =& \Bigg\{ 2i(n-6)\omega M c_{n-3} + \Big[E - n^2 + 7n - 12 + \omega^2 a^2 + i(8n-34)\omega M\sqrt{1-a^2/M^2} + i(4n-14)\omega M\Big] c_{n-2} \\
&+ \Big[4m\omega a + (2E - 4n^2 + 18n - 18 + 2\omega^2 a^2)\sqrt{1-a^2/M^2} \\
&\qquad + i12(n-2)\omega M - i4(2n-5)\omega a^2/M - i4ma/M + i12(n-2)\omega M\sqrt{1-a^2/M^2}\Big] c_{n-1} \Bigg\} \\
&\Bigg\{ (4n^2-8n)(1-a^2/M^2) + m^2a^2/M^2 - 4m\omega a - 4m\omega a\sqrt{1-a^2/M^2} \\
&\qquad - i[8nM\omega(1-a^2/M^2) + (8n\omega M - 4ma/M)\sqrt{1-a^2/M^2} \Bigg\}^{-1}, \qquad (83)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d_n =& -\frac{i(n+1)a^4}{2\omega M^5} d_{n-5} + \frac{i(n+1)(2n+1+i\omega a^2/M)a^2}{n\omega M^3} d_{n-4} \\
&+ \frac{-4mMa + (4n+8)\omega M a^2 - i(4n^2+8n)M^2 + i(E - 2n^2 - 4n - m^2)a^2 + i\omega^2 a^4}{2n\omega M^2}\, d_{n-3} \\
&+ \frac{2ma - (2n+1)\omega a^2 + i(-E + 2n^2 + 5n + 3 + 2m\omega a - \omega^2 a^2)M}{n\omega M} d_{n-2} \\
&+ \frac{(4n-4)\omega M + i(E - n^2 - 3n - 2 + \omega^2 a^2)}{2n\omega} d_{n-1}. \qquad (84)
\end{aligned}$$

We use a 7th order Runge-Kutta routine to solve for the homogenous radial solutions using the above initial/boundary conditions, obtaining values of $\psi_0^{\rm ret}$ to an accuracy of 1 part in 10^{13}.

2. *Sasaki-Nakamura equation*

The Sasaki-Nakamura equation is

$$\frac{d^2X}{dr^{*2}} - F\frac{dX}{dr^*} - UX = 0 \qquad (85)$$

where X is related to the radial part, R_4 of $\rho^{-4}\psi_4$ by

$$R_4 = \frac{1}{\eta}\left[\left(\alpha + \frac{\beta'}{\Delta}\right)\chi - \frac{\beta}{\Delta}\chi'\right] \qquad (86)$$

where

$$\chi = \frac{\Delta X}{\sqrt{r^2+a^2}}. \qquad (87)$$

The radial parts, R_4 and R_0 are related to each other by the relation

$$R_0 = c\frac{R_4^*}{\Delta^2}, \qquad (88)$$

where c is a constant. The function F is

$$F = \frac{\eta'}{\eta}\frac{\Delta}{r^2+a^2} \qquad (89)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta =& -12i\omega M + \lambda(\lambda+2) - 12a\omega(a\omega - m) + \frac{8ia[3a\omega - \lambda(a\omega - m)]}{r} \\
&+ \frac{-24iaM(a\omega - m) + 12a^2[1 - 2(a\omega - m)^2]}{r^2} + \frac{24ia^3(a\omega - m) - 24Ma^2}{r^3} + \frac{12a^4}{r^4} \qquad (90)
\end{aligned}$$

and the prime denotes a derivate with respect to r.
The function U is

$$U = \frac{\Delta U_1}{(r^2+a^2)^2} + G^2 + \frac{\Delta G'}{r^2+a^2} - FG \tag{91}$$

where U_1 and G are

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= V + \frac{\Delta^2}{\beta}\left[\frac{d}{dr}\left(2\alpha + \frac{\beta'}{\Delta}\right) - \frac{\eta'}{\eta}\left(\alpha + \frac{\beta'}{\Delta}\right)\right] \text{ and} \\ G &= -\left[\frac{2(r-M)}{r^2+a^2}\right] + \frac{r\Delta}{(r^2+a^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

The α, β and V that appear above are given by

$$\begin{aligned} V &= -\left[\frac{K^2 + 4iK(r-M)}{\Delta}\right] + 8i\omega r + \lambda\,, \\ \beta &= 2\Delta\left[-iK + r - M - \frac{2\Delta}{r}\right]\,, \\ \alpha &= \frac{-iK\beta}{\Delta^2} + 3iK' + \lambda + \frac{6\Delta}{r^2}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

where $K = (r^2+a^2)\omega - am$. We use the following boundary conditions at the horizon and at infinity,

$$X_H = e^{i\omega r^*} \tag{94}$$

$$X_\infty = \sum_{n=0}^{4} e^{-i\omega r^*}\frac{\tilde{d}_n}{r^n} \tag{95}$$

where

$$r^* = r + \frac{2Mr_+}{r_+ - r_-}\log\left|\frac{r-r_+}{2M}\right| - \frac{2Mr_-}{r_+ - r_-}\log\left|\frac{r-r_-}{2M}\right| \tag{96}$$

and [26]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_0 &= 1 \\ \tilde{d}_1 &= \frac{-i(2+\lambda+2am\omega)}{2\omega}\,, \\ \tilde{d}_2 &= -\frac{\lambda^2 + \lambda(4+am\omega) - 12iM\omega + 4am\omega(1+am\omega+2iM\omega)}{8\omega^2}\,, \\ \tilde{d}_3 &= \frac{i\lambda^3}{48\omega^3} + \frac{i\lambda^2(3am\omega-1)}{24\omega^3} + \frac{i\lambda(-2-2am\omega-3iM\omega+2a^2\omega^2+3a^2m^2\omega^2+6iamM\omega^2)}{12\omega^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{i[6iM - 6am - 3a\omega(a+imM) + 2am\omega^2(a^2-4M^2) + (am\omega)^2(am+6iM)]}{6\omega^3}\,, \\ \tilde{d}_4 &= \frac{\lambda^4}{384\omega^4} + \frac{\lambda^3(8am\omega-12)}{384\omega^4} + \frac{\lambda^2(12-72am\omega+48iM\omega+32a^2\omega^2+24a^2m^2\omega^2+48iamM\omega^2)}{384\omega^4} \\ &\quad \frac{\lambda[80(1-am\omega)+288iM\omega+128a^2\omega^2(am\omega-1)+16(am\omega)^2(2am\omega+12iM\omega-7)-256amM^2\omega^3]}{384\omega^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24\omega^3}[30am - 30iM - 6a^2\omega - 15\omega(am)^2 + 60iamM\omega + 45M^2\omega - 16a^3m\omega^2 - 2(am)^2\omega^2 \\ &\quad - 18iM(a\omega)^2 - 6iM(am\omega)^2 + 4am(M\omega)^2 + 8a^4m^2\omega^3 + (am)^4\omega^3 + 24imM(a\omega)^3 + 12iM(am\omega)^3 \\ &\quad - 44\omega(amM\omega)^2 - 48iam(M\omega)^3]. \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

The accuracy of our radial eigenfunctions is shown in Table II, which exhibits values of $|R_{\rm in}R_{\rm out}/W|$ computed by independently integrating the Teukolsky equation and the Sasaki-Nakamua equation.

ℓ	m	a/M	r_0/M	Teukolsky	Sasaki-Nakamura
56	53	0.50	4.30	$3.32878980028437\times10^{-2}$	$3.32878980028426\times10^{-2}$
85	84	0.50	4.25	$2.21479032560870\times10^{-2}$	$2.21479032560832\times10^{-2}$
83	76	0.30	5.00	$2.66435949501300\times10^{-2}$	$2.664359495012799\times10^{-2}$
42	1	0.30	6.00	$5.774432559632249\times10^{-2}$	$5.774432559632295\times10^{-2}$
6	-1	-0.25	6.80	$4.40438489554819\times10^{-1}$	$4.40438489554828\times10^{-1}$
56	37	0.61	3.79	$2.5750354691478\times10^{-2}$	$2.5750354691415\times10^{-2}$
65	-58	-0.76	8.33	$6.068437461161814\times10^{-2}$	$6.068437461161780\times10^{-2}$
83	63	-0.71	8.18	$4.5482452073276329\times10^{-2}$	$4.5482452073276363\times10^{-2}$
76	74	0.92	2.20	$1.056332700247276\times10^{-2}$	$1.056332700247222\times10^{-2}$
68	62	0.16	5.50	$3.62341811077144\times10^{-2}$	$3.62341811077135\times10^{-2}$
79	67	0.70	3.40	$1.69778531677806\times10^{-2}$	$1.69778531677799\times10^{-2}$
56	-42	-0.25	6.80	$5.4130389893688027\times10^{-2}$	$5.4130389893688006\times10^{-2}$
79	71	0.58	3.95	$2.09651683213181\times10^{-2}$	$2.09651683213165\times10^{-2}$
82	75	-0.2	6.70	$3.77916963861862\times10^{-2}$	$3.77916963861852\times10^{-2}$
54	35	0.14	5.55	$4.31642221118258\times10^{-2}$	$4.31642221118267\times10^{-2}$
67	52	-0.64	8.00	$5.497676877828166\times10^{-2}$	$5.497676877828151\times10^{-2}$
75	61	0.78	3.05	$1.52296461688768494\times10^{-2}$	$1.52296461688768459\times10^{-2}$
77	68	-0.14	6.50	$3.85508542936437\times10^{-2}$	$3.85508542936429\times10^{-2}$
65	53	-0.72	8.30	$5.946127670533624\times10^{-2}$	$5.946127670533644\times10^{-2}$
81	66	-0.58	7.80	$4.456906403886178\times10^{-2}$	$4.456906403886146\times10^{-2}$
86	81	0.47	4.35	$2.20499986904938\times10^{-2}$	$2.20499986904907\times10^{-2}$
53	-53	-0.23	6.80	$6.057956364463913\times10^{-2}$	$6.057956364463899\times10^{-2}$
70	65	0.39	4.70	$2.94548366362787\times10^{-2}$	$2.94548366362775\times10^{-2}$
52	33	0.04	6.15	$5.053852006520149\times10^{-2}$	$5.053852006520189\times10^{-2}$
44	44	-0.34	7.10	$7.645731150733178\times10^{-2}$	$7.645731150733167\times10^{-2}$
70	68	0.21	15.00	$1.027161976019888\times10^{-1}$	$1.027161976019891\times10^{-1}$
72	67	-0.66	43.50	$2.9619125920183531\times10^{-1}$	$2.9619125920183542\times10^{-1}$
40	-39	0.21	25.00	$3.02127126128480971\times10^{-1}$	$3.02127126128480916\times10^{-1}$
61	58	0.91	72.50	$5.849950541617765\times10^{-1}$	$5.849950541617792\times10^{-1}$
50	2	-0.88	15.50	$1.4349668057921668\times10^{-1}$	$1.4349668057921630\times10^{-1}$
65	12	-0.44	100.00	$7.558239183584133\times10^{-1}$	$7.558239183584106\times10^{-1}$

TABLE II: For each listed value of ℓ, m, a and r_0, we give the value of $|R_{\rm in}R_{\rm out}/W|$, obtained by integrating the Teukolsky equation and the Sasaki-Nakamura equation. As in Table II C 2, the fractional accuracy increases with increasing r_0, and all except the last six values of r_0 are chosen to be within a few percent of the innermost stable circular orbit for a given a.

III. COMPUTATION OF THE PERTURBED METRIC

We can now use Eq. (29) to compute the perturbed metric in an ORG in terms of the Hertz potential Ψ. We first convert the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics in Eq. (28) to spin-weighted spherical harmonics as follows: Restoring the suppressed indices s, ℓ, and m to S and b_j in Eq. (68),

$$S = {}_sS_{\ell m}, \quad b_j = b_{\ell jm}, \tag{98}$$

with $s = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi &= 8\sum_{\ell m} \frac{(-1)^m D\bar{R}_{\ell m} + 12imM\Omega R_{\ell m}}{D^2 + 144M^2m^2\Omega^2} e^{im(\phi-\Omega t)}\,{}_2S_{\ell m}(\theta) \\
&= 8\sum_{\ell m} \frac{(-1)^m D\bar{R}_{\ell m} + 12imM\Omega R_{\ell m}}{D^2 + 144M^2m^2\Omega^2} e^{-im\Omega t} \sum_{\ell'} b_{\ell\ell' m}\,{}_2Y_{\ell',m}(\theta,\phi) \\
&= \sum_{\ell',m} \left(\sum_{\ell} 8b_{\ell\ell' m} \frac{(-1)^m D\bar{R}_{\ell m} + 12imM\Omega R_{\ell m}}{D^2 + 144M^2m^2\Omega^2} e^{-im\Omega t} \right) {}_2Y_{\ell',m}(\theta,\phi) \\
&= \sum_{\ell m} \Psi_{\ell m}(t,r)\,{}_2Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi). \qquad (99)
\end{aligned}$$

To write the nonzero tetrad components of the metric perturbation of Eq. (29), we replace spin-coefficients by their values for Kerr given in Eq. (3), we write the derivatives operators $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}$ in the explicit forms implied by (2), and we use Eq. (41) to replace the angular derivative operator $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ by its form in terms of $\eth$. We thereby obtain

$$h_{\mathbf{11}} = \sum_{\ell m} \frac{1}{2\varrho^2} \left[\bar{\eth}^2 - 2ia(\varrho + im\Omega)\sin\theta\,\bar{\eth} - 3a^2\varrho^2\sin^2\theta + a^2(\varrho - im\Omega)(3\varrho + im\Omega)\sin^2\theta \right] \Psi_{\ell m}\,{}_2Y_{\ell m} + \text{c.c.}, \qquad (100)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\mathbf{13}} = \sum_{\ell m} \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{2}} \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma\varrho^3} \Bigg[& 2\partial_r\bar{\eth} - ia\left(\varrho - \bar{\varrho} + 2im\Omega - 2i\frac{a}{\Sigma}\cos\theta\right)\sin\theta\partial_r - 2\left(\varrho + \bar{\varrho} + 4\frac{r-M}{\Delta} + iq\right)\bar{\eth} \\
& + 6ia\varrho^2\sin\theta - ia\left(2\varrho + \bar{\varrho} - im\Omega + 2i\frac{a}{\Sigma}\cos\theta\right)\left(3\varrho + 4\frac{r-M}{\Delta} + iq\right)\sin\theta \\
& - ia\,(3\varrho + im\Omega)\left(\varrho - 2\bar{\varrho} - 4\frac{r-M}{\Delta} - iq\right)\sin\theta \Bigg] \Psi_{\ell m}\,{}_2Y_{\ell m}, \qquad (101)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\mathbf{33}} = \sum_{\ell m} \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Delta^2}{\Sigma^2\varrho^4} \Bigg[& \partial_r^2 + \left(\varrho - \bar{\varrho} + 8\frac{r-M}{\Delta} - \frac{2r}{\Sigma} + 2iq\right)\partial_r + 3\varrho^2 + \frac{4}{\Delta} - \frac{8(r-M)^2}{\Delta^2} + 2i\frac{q(r-M) + m\Omega r}{\Delta} \\
& + \left(2\varrho + \bar{\varrho} - 4\frac{r-M}{\Delta} + 2\frac{r}{\Sigma} + 2iq\right)\left(3\varrho + 4\frac{r-M}{\Delta} + iq\right) \Bigg] \Psi_{\ell m}\,{}_2Y_{\ell m}. \qquad (102)
\end{aligned}$$

Because Ψ has spin-weight 2 and $\bar{\eth}\Psi$ has spin-weight 1, $\bar{\eth}$ acting on Ψ and on $\bar{\eth}\Psi$ has the form (41) with $s = 2$ and $s = 1$, respectively.

We next expand the operators acting on Ψ in powers of the small parameter $\cos\theta$ and show below that terms involving $\cos\theta$ and $\cos^2\theta$ do not contribute to $h^{\text{ren}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$ (or to the nonzero part of the singular field). Using Eq. (40) for the action of $\bar{\eth}$ on ${}_2Y_{\ell m}$, we obtain

$$h_{\mathbf{11}} = \sum_{\ell m} \Psi_{\ell m} \left(\sum_{n,s=0}^{2} {}_s\mathcal{A}^n_{\ell m} \cos^n\theta\,{}_sY_{\ell m} \right) + \text{c.c.}, \qquad (103)$$

where the radial functions ${}_s\mathcal{A}^n_{\ell m}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_0\mathcal{A}^0_{\ell m} &= \sqrt{\frac{(\ell+2)!}{(\ell-2)!}}\,\frac{r^2}{2}, && \text{(104a)}\\
{}_1\mathcal{A}^0_{\ell m} &= -\sqrt{(\ell-1)(\ell+2)}\,ar(i+m\Omega r), && \text{(104b)}\\
{}_2\mathcal{A}^0_{\ell m} &= \frac{1}{2}a^2 m\Omega r(2i+m\Omega r), && \text{(104c)}\\
{}_0\mathcal{A}^1_{\ell m} &= -i\sqrt{\frac{(\ell+2)!}{(\ell-2)!}}\,ar, && \text{(104d)}\\
{}_1\mathcal{A}^1_{\ell m} &= -\sqrt{(\ell-1)(\ell+2)}\,a^2(1-2im\Omega r), && \text{(104e)}\\
{}_2\mathcal{A}^1_{\ell m} &= m\Omega a^3(1-imr\Omega), && \text{(104f)}\\
{}_0\mathcal{A}^2_{\ell m} &= -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{(\ell+2)!}{(\ell-2)!}}\,a^2, && \text{(104g)}\\
{}_1\mathcal{A}^2_{\ell m} &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\ell-1)(\ell+2)}\,a[ir+m\Omega(r^2+2a^2)], && \text{(104h)}\\
{}_2\mathcal{A}^2_{\ell m} &= -\frac{1}{2}m\Omega a^2[2ir+m\Omega(r^2+a^2)]; && \text{(104i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
h_{\mathbf{13}} = \sum_{\ell m}\sum_{s=1}^{2}\sum_{n=0}^{2} {}_s\mathcal{B}^n_{\ell m}\cos^n\theta\,{}_sY_{\ell m}, \tag{105}
$$

where, with q defined by Eq. (14), the functions ${}_s\mathcal{B}^n_{\ell m}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_1\mathcal{B}^0_{\ell m} &= -\sqrt{\frac{(\ell-1)(\ell+2)}{8}}\left[r\Delta\Psi'_{\ell m}+(2r^2-2a^2-iqr\Delta)\Psi_{\ell m}\right], && \text{(106a)}\\
{}_2\mathcal{B}^0_{\ell m} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}am\Omega[r\Delta\Psi'_{\ell m}+(2r^2-2a^2-iqr\Delta)\Psi_{\ell m}], && \text{(106b)}\\
{}_1\mathcal{B}^1_{\ell m} &= 3i\sqrt{\frac{(\ell-1)(\ell+2)}{8}}\,\frac{a}{r}[r\Delta\Psi'_{\ell m}+(2r^2-2a^2-iqr\Delta)\Psi_{\ell m}], && \text{(106c)}\\
{}_2\mathcal{B}^1_{\ell m} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}\frac{a^2}{r}\left\{(2+3im\Omega r)\Delta\Psi'_{\ell m}+\left[8(r-M)+3m\Omega qr\Delta-2ima+4im\Omega(2r^2-a^2)\right]\Psi_{\ell m}\right\}, && \text{(106d)}\\
{}_1\mathcal{B}^2_{\ell m} &= \sqrt{\frac{(\ell-1)(\ell+2)}{2}}\,\frac{a^2}{r^2}\left[2r\Delta\Psi'_{\ell m}+(3r^2+2Mr-5a^2-2iqr\Delta)\Psi_{\ell m}\right], && \text{(106e)}\\
{}_2\mathcal{B}^2_{\ell m} &= \frac{1}{32}\frac{a}{r^2}\{[m\Omega r(r^2+8a^2)+12ia^2]\Delta\Psi'_{\ell m}+[12ma^3+2m\Omega(r^4-a^2r^2+4Ma^2r-16a^4)]\Psi_{\ell m}\\
&\qquad +i[48a^2(r-M)-m\Omega qr(r^2+8a^2)\Delta]\Psi_{\ell m}\}; && \text{(106f)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
h_{\mathbf{33}} \;=\; \sum_{\ell m}\sum_{n=0}^{2}\mathcal{C}^n_{\ell m}\cos^n\theta\,{}_2Y_{\ell m}, \tag{107}
$$

with $\mathcal{C}^n_{\ell m}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^0_{\ell m} \;=\; & \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2\Psi''_{\ell m}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Delta}{r}\left(3r^2-2Mr-a^2-iqr\Delta\right)\Psi'_{\ell m}\\
&+\left[r^2-2M^2-a^2+2\frac{Ma^2}{r}-\frac{1}{4}q^2\Delta^2-\frac{i}{2}\frac{q\Delta}{r}(2r^2-Mr-a^2)\right]\Psi_{\ell m}, \qquad \text{(108)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^1_{\ell m} \; = \; & \frac{-ia\Delta^2}{r}\Psi''_{\ell m} - \frac{ia\Delta}{r}\Psi'_{\ell m}\left(8(r-M) - \frac{3\Delta}{2r} - 2im[a-\Omega(r^2+a^2)]\right) \\
& -\frac{ia}{r}\Psi_{\ell m}\Bigg[2im\Omega\Delta r + 8(M-2)^2 - 2\Delta + \frac{6M\Delta}{r} + 6im[a-\Omega(r^2+a^2)](M-r) \\
& + \frac{3\Delta im[a-\Omega(r^2+a^2)]}{2r} - m^2\left[a-\Omega(r^2+a^2)\right]^2\Bigg],
\end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^2_{\ell m} \; = \; & \frac{-2a^2\Delta^2}{r^2}\Psi''_{\ell m} - \frac{\Delta a^2}{r^2}\Psi'_{\ell m}\left[16(r-M) - \frac{5\Delta}{2r} - 4im[a-\Omega(r^2+a^2)]\right] \\
& -\frac{a^2}{r^2}\Psi_{\ell m}\Bigg[4im\Omega\Delta r + 16(M-r)^2 - 2\Delta + \frac{10M\Delta}{r} + 12im(M-r)[a-\Omega(r^2+a^2)] \\
& + \frac{5\Delta[a-\Omega(r^2+a^2)]}{2r} - 2m^2[a-\Omega(r^2+a^2)]^2\Bigg].
\end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

We now argue that the terms involving $\cos^n\theta$ with $n \neq 0$ in Eqs. (103), (105) and (107) can be ignored. We refer here to our description in Sec. II B of the the renormalization procedure in terms of ψ_0 and Ψ. The components $h^{\rm ren}_{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$ of the renormalized radiation-gauge metric are given by Eqs. (103), (105) and (107), with Ψ replaced by $\Psi^{\rm ren}$. Because $\Psi^{\rm ren}$ is smooth and hence finite at the particle, no term proportional to $\cos\theta$ contributes to $h^{\rm ren}_{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$.

It can happen, however, that the $\cos\theta$ terms contribute to $h^{\rm S}_{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}$, written in terms of $\Psi^{\rm S}$. The $\cos^2\theta$ terms cannot contribute, because they are $O(\rho^2)$ and multiply terms whose sum is at most $O(\rho^{-1})$ (terms involving two derivatives of Ψ). Similarly, the $\cos\theta$ terms cannot contribute to the leading term in h^S, because they are one order in ρ smaller than the leading term in H^s. At subleading order, however, they give an $O(\rho^0)$ contribution that has odd parity. The parity of this contribution to the singular field follows from that fact that, at leading order, $\Psi^{\rm ret}$ is even under parity about the position of the particle (shown in detail in Sec. IIID of [1]), while $\cos\theta$ is odd. The leading-order contributions to the $\cos\theta$ terms come from terms involving two derivatives of $\Psi^{\rm ret}$, and these are again even under parity, implying that at leading order, the contribution from $r > r_0$ cancels the contribution with opposite sign from $r < r_0$. Finally, lower-order contributions multiplying $\cos\theta$ are order ρ, vanishing at the particle.

One can then compute $H^{\rm ren}$ by subtracting the leading part of the singular field (which coincides the Lorenz-gauge singular field) and by omitting terms that involve $\cos\theta$. We have verified this agreement numerically (see Sec. VI), finding that the order L^0 part of $H^{\rm S}_\ell$ agrees to one part in 10^{12} with its analytic form computed by Linz [23] and that the $O(L^{-1})$ contribution vanishes to within the accuracy of the computation.

IV. GAUGE-INVARIANT QUANTITIES

In this section, we obtain expressions for the related quantities ΔU and $\hat{\Delta}\Omega$ that give, respectively, the change in the redshift factor of a trajectory at fixed angular velocity and the change in the angular velocity of a trajectory at fixed redshift factor U. Each of these quantities is invariant under gauge-transformations generated by helically symmetric gauge vectors ξ^α and each can be written in terms of the similarly gauge-invariant quantity

$$H^{\rm ren} := \frac{1}{2}h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha u^\beta, \tag{111}$$

where $h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the renormalized metric perturbation.

As shown by Mino et al. [19] (see also Quinn and Wald [20] and Detweiler & Whiting [18]), at order $\mathfrak{m}/M$ the particle moves along a geodesic of the metric $g_{\alpha\beta} + h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta}$, where $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is the background (Kerr) metric. Denote by $\hat{u}^\alpha = U(t^\alpha + \Omega\phi^\alpha)$ the particle's 4-velocity, normalized with respect to $g_{\alpha\beta} + h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$(g_{\alpha\beta} + h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta})\,\hat{u}^\alpha\hat{u}^\beta = 1. \tag{112}$$

We consider first the difference ΔU between the value of U for a circular geodesic of the perturbed metric and its value at the circular geodesic of the unperturbed metric with the same value of angular velocity Ω. Formally, because perturbations to first-order in $\mathfrak{m}/M$ are linear in $\mathfrak{m}$, we can write

$$\Delta U = \mathfrak{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{m}} U(\mathfrak{m},\Omega)|_{\mathfrak{m}=0}. \tag{113}$$

We will denote by δU the gauge-dependent change in U at a fixed value of r. Denoting by $U(\mathfrak{m}, r)$ value of U for the circular geodesic at radial coordinate r of the metric $g_{\alpha\beta} + h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta}$, we have

$$\delta U := \mathfrak{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{m}} U(\mathfrak{m},r)|_{\mathfrak{m}=0}. \tag{114}$$

Let ξ^α be the radial vector joining the unperturbed circular geodesic with angular velocity Ω to the perturbed geodesic with the same angular velocity: Formally

$$\Omega(\mathfrak{m}=0,r) = \Omega(\mathfrak{m}, r+\xi^r) + O(\mathfrak{m}^2). \tag{115}$$

Then

$$\Delta U = \delta U + \pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} U, \quad \Delta\Omega = \delta\Omega + \pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\Omega = 0, \tag{116}$$

where $\Delta\Omega$ and $\delta\Omega$ are defined as in Eqs. (113) and (114).

We can now quickly compute ΔU from Eq. (112), showing as follows the relation

$$\Delta U = -u^t H^{\rm ren}. \tag{117}$$

Define $\tilde{k}^\alpha$ in the equatorial plane by $\tilde{k}^\alpha = t^\alpha + \Omega\phi^\alpha$, with $\Omega = \Omega(\mathfrak{m}, r)$, and let k^α be the Killing vector $k^\alpha = t^\alpha + \Omega_0\phi^\alpha$, where Ω_0 is the angular velocity of the unperturbed orbit through $r = r_0$. Then, applying Δ to the normalization equation (112) and evaluating the expression at $r = r_0$, we have

$$0 = \Delta(g_{\alpha\beta}U^2\tilde{k}^\alpha\tilde{k}^\beta) = (\delta + \pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})(g_{\alpha\beta}U^2\tilde{k}^\alpha\tilde{k}^\beta) = (h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta} + \pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} g_{\alpha\beta})u^\alpha u^\beta + \frac{2}{U}\Delta U + 2Uu_\alpha(\delta + \pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})\tilde{k}^\alpha, \tag{118}$$

with δ again the perturbation at fixed radius r, as in Eq. (114). Using the fact that ξ^α is helically symmetric, we now see as follows that the terms $\pounds_\xi g_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha u^\beta$ and $(\delta + \pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})\tilde{k}^\alpha$ vanish. At $r = r_0$, we have $U(\mathfrak{m}=0, r_0) = u^t$, whence $u^\alpha = u^t k^\alpha$, and Eq. (35) then implies $\pounds_\xi g_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha u^\beta = 0$. Finally, because the coordinate system is independent of $\mathfrak{m}$, we have $\delta t^\alpha = 0 = \delta\phi^\alpha$ (that is, $\boldsymbol{\partial}_t$ and $\boldsymbol{\partial}_\phi$ do not change), and the last term vanishes:

$$(\delta + \pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})\tilde{k}^\alpha|_{r=r_0} = \pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} t^\alpha + \Omega_0\pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\phi^\alpha = \pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} k^\alpha = -\pounds_{\boldsymbol{k}}\xi^\alpha = 0. \tag{119}$$

From the two surviving terms on the right of Eq. (118), we obtain the claimed form $\Delta U = -\frac{1}{2}u^t h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha u^\beta$.

The change in the angular velocity at fixed U is similarly gauge invariant and is easily obtained from ΔU. With Ω regarded as a function of $\mathfrak{m}$ and U we define its change at fixed U by

$$\hat{\Delta}\Omega := \mathfrak{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathfrak{m}}\Omega(\mathfrak{m},U)|_{\mathfrak{m}=0}. \tag{120}$$

From the fact that, at fixed $\mathfrak{m}$, $\Omega(\mathfrak{m}, U)$ is the inverse of $U(\mathfrak{m},\Omega)$, it follows that

$$\hat{\Delta}\Omega = -\frac{\partial}{\partial U}\Omega(\mathfrak{m}=0,U)\Delta U, \tag{121}$$

implying

$$\hat{\Delta}\Omega = -\frac{1}{u_\phi u^t}H^{\rm ren}. \tag{122}$$

The resulting values of ΔU and $\hat{\Delta}\Omega$ are presented in Tables III and IV.

For completeness, we give here explicit expressions for the quantities δU and $\delta\Omega$. These depend on the gauge-dependent acceleration a^α, the self-force per unit mass, which is ordinarily defined with the perturbed trajectory parametrized by proper time with respect to the *background metric*, implying for the 4-velocity u^α the normalization

$$g_{\alpha\beta}\, u^\alpha u^\beta = 1. \tag{123}$$

Denoting by τ and $\hat{\tau}$ proper time along a trajectory with respect to $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $g_{\alpha\beta} + h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta}$, respectively, we have $u^\alpha = \dfrac{d\hat{\tau}}{d\tau}\hat{u}^\alpha$, with

$$\frac{d\tau}{d\hat{\tau}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha u^\beta = 1 - H^{\rm ren}. \tag{124}$$

The acceleration of the perturbed trajectory with respect to the background metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a^\alpha &:= u^\beta \overset{0}{\nabla}_\beta u^\alpha && \text{(125a)}\\ &= -(g^{\alpha\delta} + u^\alpha u^\delta)(\overset{0}{\nabla}_\gamma h_{\beta\delta} - \frac{1}{2}\overset{0}{\nabla}_\delta h_{\beta\gamma})u^\beta u^\gamma, && \text{(125b)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\overset{0}{\nabla}_\alpha$ is the covariant derivative operator of $g_{\alpha\beta}$.

Using Eqs. (123) and (125a), we find for the changes in Ω and u^t of a trajectory at fixed radius r_0

$$\delta\Omega = \Omega\frac{2aM^{1/2}r_0^2 + r_0^{5/2}(r_0 - 3M)}{2M(r_0^{3/2} + aM^{1/2})}a_r \tag{126}$$

and

$$\delta u^t = u^t\frac{r_0^{1/2}(r_0^2 + a^2 - 2aM^{1/2}r_0^{1/2})}{2(r_0^{3/2} + aM^{1/2})}a_r, \tag{127}$$

where Ω and u^t are given by the relations in Eq (6), Ω is the frequency measured by the observer at infinity. Here one is comparing the values of Ω and u^t for circular geodesics of the perturbed metric $g_{\alpha\delta} + h^{\rm ren}_{\alpha\beta}$ to their values for a circular geodesic of the unperturbed metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ at the same value $r = r_0$ of the radial coordinate. The expressions are valid in any gauge, but the values of a_r, $\delta\Omega$ and δU are gauge-dependent.

V. LOWER MULTIPOLES

The metric recovered from $\psi_0^{\rm ren}$ specifies the perturbation up to the contribution that comes from the change in mass and angular momentum of a Kerr metric and from a change in the center of mass that is pure gauge except at $r = r_0$ (and that does not contribute to $H^{\rm ren}$).[2] In this section we calculate the contribution to the gauge-invariant $H^{\rm ret}$ from the change in mass and angular momentum due to the presence of the orbiting particle of mass $\mathfrak{m}$. We calculate them in the "Kerr gauge"; that is, they are written as the first-order perturbations of the Kerr metric in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates associated with the changes δM and δJ in its mass and angular momentum. These two parts of the metric perturbation are thus stationary and axisymmetric, and they are associated with a stationary, axisymmetric part of the stress-energy tensor. For a particle in circular orbit, δM and δJ have the simple forms

$$\delta M = E = mu_\alpha t^\alpha, \qquad \delta J = L = -mu_\alpha\phi^\alpha \tag{128}$$

(for our $+---$ signature), as stated by L. Price [28]. The expression for δJ follows, for example, from the Komar formula for angular momentum, valid for a stationary axisymmetric perturbation of a stationary axisymmetric spacetime; it implies

$$\delta J = -\int_V T^\alpha_\beta\phi^\beta dS_\alpha = -mu_\phi, \tag{129}$$

when there is no change in the angular momentum of the black hole. The change in the mass follows from the Bardeen-Carter-Hawking first law of thermodynamics for black holes and matter, which gives

$$\delta M = \Omega\delta J + \mathfrak{m}/u^t = \mathfrak{m}u_t. \tag{130}$$

---

[2] In the mode-sum renormalization, the individual modes of the metric are computed as the limits of their values as $r \to r_0$ from $r < r_0$ or $r > r_0$. Because the because the metric perturbation associated with a change in the center of mass is pure gauge for $r \neq r_0$, these limits vanish.

(One can also use the generalization of the first law to helically symmetric binaries [29–31], but the stationarity and axisymmetry of the relevant perturbation again means that the original form of the first law is valid.)

Although one can also find δM directly from the Komar expressions in terms of the timelike Killing vector shared by the background and perturbed spacetimes, a warning is needed: An unexpected subtlety arises in using the Komar expression for the mass. The Komar mass on a sphere outside the particle orbit has the form

$$\delta M = \delta\frac{1}{4\pi}\int \nabla^\alpha t^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{131}$$

where $dS_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}dS^{\alpha\beta}$ (implying $dS_{tr} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}d\theta d\phi$). If one requires that the change in the Komar mass of the black hole vanish and assumes that $\delta t^\alpha = 0$, the result is an incorrect expression for δM. Gauss's theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned}\delta M &= \delta\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_V \nabla_\beta\nabla^\alpha t^\beta dS_\alpha + \delta\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\text{horizon}} \nabla^\alpha t^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= \int (2T^\alpha{}_\beta - \delta^\alpha_\beta T)t^\beta dS_\alpha + \delta\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\text{horizon}} \nabla^\alpha t^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

and

$$\int (2T^\alpha{}_\beta - \delta^\alpha_\beta T)t^\beta dS_\alpha = \mathfrak{m}(2u_t - 1/u^t) \neq \mathfrak{m}u_t. \tag{133}$$

The discrepancy arises from a rescaling of $h_{tt} = h_{\alpha\beta}t^\alpha t^\beta$ near the horizon for a time-independent perturbation. This is easiest to see for a Schwarzschild background, where the perturbation in the mass arises from the spherically symmetric part of the perturbation – from the perturbation due to a spherical shell of dust whose particles have trajectories isotropically distributed over all circular geodesics at $r = r_0$. The Komar mass is gauge invariant,[3] and we can can compute it in a Schwarzschild gauge. The perturbed field equation then requires continuity of $h_{tt} =: e^{2\Phi}$ across $r = r_0$, and $\delta\Phi$ is constant inside $r = r_0$, implying a constant rescaling of time for $r < r_0$. The result is that the expression for the change in the Komar mass at the horizon is evaluated with a rescaled metric but without a rescaled t^α, giving a nonzero result,

$$\delta\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\text{horizon}} \nabla^\alpha t^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta} = \mathfrak{m}(1/u^t - u_t), \tag{134}$$

that yields the correct value $\delta M = \mathfrak{m}u_t$ for the change in the spacetime mass. The change in $h_{\alpha\beta}$ inside $r = r_0$ has the form $\pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} g_{\alpha\beta}$ for a vector ξ^α linear in t; because t^α remains fixed, however, this is not a gauge transformation of the integrand $\nabla^\alpha t^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta}$ (the integrand does not change by $\pounds_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(\nabla^\alpha t^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta})$).

Finally, Eq. (129) for the change in angular momentum is valid, because the rescaling does not alter the Komar expression for the angular momentum at the horizon.

To calculate the metric perturbation that comes from the change in mass and angular momentum, we find the first order perturbation of the (relevant components of the) Kerr metric in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates which are

$$\begin{aligned} h_{tt} &= -\frac{2\delta M}{r} \\ h_{t\phi} &= 0 \\ h_{\phi\phi} &= \frac{2(M+r)a^2\delta M}{Mr} \end{aligned} \tag{135}$$

for the change in mass, and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{tt} &= 0 \\ h_{t\phi} &= \frac{2M\delta J}{r} \\ h_{\phi\phi} &= -\frac{2a(2M+r)\delta J}{Mr} \end{aligned} \tag{136}$$

---

[3] Gauge invariance of the integral (131), over a sphere S where there is no matter, can be seen as follows. Let S' be another sphere homologous to S with no matter in the region between them. Then, for any given choice of gauge, the value of the Komar integral is the same on the two spheres. Consider a gauge transformation associated with an arbitrary gauge vector ξ^α defined in a neighborhood of S, and extend ξ^α smoothly so that it vanishes on S'. In the new gauge, the value of the Komar integral on S' has not changed; and it must again have the same value on S and S'. Its value on S is therefore unchanged.

for the change in angular momentum. From these expressions and Eqs. (6) for u^t and Ω (and using $u^\phi = \Omega u^t$), we obtain

$$H_{\delta M} = \frac{\mathfrak{m}(r^2 \pm 2aM^{1/2}r^{1/2} - a^2)(r^{3/2} - 2Mr^{1/2} \pm aM^{1/2})}{r^{9/4}(r^{3/2} - 3Mr^{1/2} \pm 2aM^{1/2})^{3/2}} \tag{137}$$

and

$$H_{\delta J} = \frac{M^{1/2}\mathfrak{m}(r^2 \mp 2aM^{1/2}r^{1/2} + a^2)(\pm a - 2M^{1/2}r^{1/2})}{r^{9/4}(r^{3/2} - 3Mr^{1/2} \pm 2aM^{1/2})^{3/2}} \tag{138}$$

where the upper (lower) sign is used for direct (retrograde) orbits.

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The renormalization of H follows the mode-sum method described in Sec. II B. After the odd-parity terms – terms involving $\cos\theta$ in Eqs. (103), (105) and (107) – are omitted, the method is identical to that used in [4] for a particle in circular orbit in a Schwarzschild background.

In Eq. (34), with the odd-parity part of $H^{\rm S}$ gone, the remaining $O(L^{-2})$ terms vanish at the particle, allowing us to write the remaining part $\widetilde{H}^{\rm S}_\ell$ of $H^{\rm S}_\ell$ in the form

$$\widetilde{H}^{\rm S}_\ell = E_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\rm max}} \frac{E_{2k}}{P_{2k}(\ell)}, \tag{139}$$

where $P_{2k}(\ell)$ is a polynomial in ℓ of order $2k$ for which

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_{2k}(\ell)} = 0. \tag{140}$$

We numerically match $\widetilde{H}^{\rm ret}_\ell$ (where the tilde again denotes a value computed with odd-parity terms omitted) to this expansion of $\widetilde{H}^{\rm S}_\ell$,

$$\widetilde{H}^{\rm S}_\ell = E_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\rm max}} \frac{E_{2k}}{P_{2k}(\ell)}, \tag{141}$$

and extract the regularization coefficients E_{2k} up to $k_{\rm max}$ between 8 and 10. The method used in the numerical matching and an error-minimization criterion for the choice of $k_{\rm max}$ are described in detail in [4]. The resulting value of $H^{\rm ren}$ is given by

$$H^{\rm ren} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\ell_{\rm max}} \left[H^{\rm ret}_\ell - H^{\rm S}_\ell\right] = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\ell_{\rm max}} \left[\widetilde{H}^{\rm ret}_\ell - \widetilde{H}^{\rm S}_\ell\right], \tag{142}$$

with $\ell_{\rm max} = 74$. The analytical value of E_0 [23] is given by

$$E_{0{\rm analytical}} = \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{(1+\beta)g_{\theta\theta}}} K\left(\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}\right), \tag{143}$$

where $\beta = \frac{g_{\phi\phi} - g_{\theta\theta} + L^2}{g_{\theta\theta}}$ and K is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, $K(m) = \int_0^{\pi/2} (1 - m\sin^2\phi)^{-1/2} d\phi$. We compare the value of E_0 obtained by numerical matching to the above analytical result and observe that they agree to 12 significant figures.

r_0/M	$a = -0.9M$	$a = -0.7M$	$a = -0.5M$	$a = 0.0M$	$a = 0.5M$	$a = 0.7M$	$a = 0.9M$
4	-	-	-	-	-	-0.39639405	-0.32811192
5	-	-	-	-	-0.31443977	-0.27861234	-0.25156061
6	-	-	-	-0.29602751	-0.23463184	-0.21756347	-0.20361838
7	-	-	-	-0.22084753	-0.18875155	-0.17902998	-0.17073001
8	-	-	-0.20415909	-0.17771974	-0.15838853	-0.15222199	-0.14680897
10	-0.15129436	-0.14557511	-0.14033900	-0.12912227	-0.12019572	-0.11717475	-0.11443451
15	-0.083291764	-0.081933637	-0.080646922	-0.077725319	-0.075195106	-0.074284771	-0.073429473
20	-0.058142984	-0.057590366	-0.057059948	-0.055827719	-0.054723506	-0.054316065	-0.053927537
30	-0.036504919	-0.036334869	-0.036169772	-0.035778314	-0.035416550	-0.035279964	-0.035147937
50	-0.021026283	-0.020984416	-0.020943414	-0.020844656	-0.020751199	-0.020715285	-0.02068020
70	-0.014784459	-0.014767331	-0.014750491	-0.014709646	-0.014670583	-0.014655454	-0.014640606
100	-0.010234918	-0.010228170	-0.010221515	-0.010205282	-0.010189625	-0.010183523	-0.010177512

TABLE III: This table presents the numerical values of ΔU for different values of r_0/M and a. They are accurate to a fractional difference of order 10^{-8}.

r_0/M	$a = -0.9M$	$a = -0.7M$	$a = -0.5M$	$a = 0.0M$	$a = 0.5M$	$a = 0.7M$	$a = 0.9M$
4	-	-	-	-	-	0.054267340	0.052559297
5	-	-	-	-	0.047924050	0.046963137	0.046465534
6	-	-	-	0.042727891	0.040850942	0.040470951	0.040275775
7	-	-	-	0.036056740	0.035175043	0.034994056	0.034900960
8	-	-	-0.031876878	0.031046361	0.030576073	0.030478282	0.030427413
10	-0.024543706	-0.024365158	-0.024209291	0.023913779	0.023742658	0.023706031	0.023686199
15	-0.014462899	-0.014434162	-0.014408846	0.014359915	0.014330238	0.014323381	0.014319216
20	-0.0098123143	-0.0098041785	-0.0097969635	0.0097828022	0.0097738694	0.0097716692	0.0097702125
30	-0.0055825069	-0.0055810957	-0.0055798309	0.0055772872	0.0055755838	0.0055751254	0.0055747889
50	-0.0026871991	-0.0026870390	-0.0026868933	0.0026865907	0.0026863727	0.0026863081	0.0026862560
70	-0.0016464854	-0.0016464466	-0.0016464110	0.0016463355	0.0016462787	0.0016462609	0.0016462460
100	-0.00097498493	-0.00097497623	-0.00097496816	0.00097495060	0.00097493692	0.00097493244	0.00097492852

TABLE IV: Numerical values of $M\hat{\Delta}\Omega$ for different values of r_0/M and a. The values are accurate to a fractional difference of order 10^{-8}.

VII. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

The results here are based on the computation of the invariant $H^{\rm ren}$, and work now underway with A. Le Tiec shows that ΔU from the computations in our modified radiation gauge agrees with the post-Newtonian series for ΔU linear in the spin parameter a/M: A preliminary matching shows that the first coefficient in the pN series agrees to five significant digits. The results here also agree with those of a separate EMRI computation by Dolan, who works in a Lorenz gauge using an effective source method (agreement is within their numerical error bars of order 10^{-2}). Finally, we have also begun work to extend the computation reported here to find the self-force on a particle in circular orbit in a Kerr background.

Acknowledgments

We thank Sam Dolan and Alexandre Le Tiec for providing results of their work prior to publication, leading to corrections in our computations. We also thank Leor Barack for helpful conversations. This work was supported in part by NSF Grants PHY 0503366 and PHY 1001515 and by European Research Council Starting Grant No. 202996.

---

[1] T. S. Keidl, A. G. Shah, J. L. Friedman, D.-H. Kim, and L. R. Price, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 124012 (2010).
[2] S. Detweiler, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 124026 (2008), 0804.3529.
[3] N. Sago, L. Barack, and S. Detweiler, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 124024 (2008), 0810.2530.
[4] A. G. Shah, T. S. Keidl, J. L. Friedman, D.-H. Kim, and L. R. Price, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 064018 (2011).
[5] N. Warburton and L. Barack, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 084039 (2010).
[6] N. Warburton and L. Barack, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 124038 (2011).
[7] S. A. Teukolsky, Astrophys. J. **185**, 635 (1973).
[8] L. Barack, D. A. Golbourn, and N. Sago, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 124036 (2007), 0709.4588.
[9] I. Vega and S. Detweiler, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 084008 (2008).
[10] I. Vega, B. Wardell, and P. Diener, Classical and Quantum Gravity **28**, 134010 (2011).
[11] S. R. Dolan, L. Barack, and B. Wardell, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 084001 (2011).
[12] P. L. Chrzanowski, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 2042 (1975).
[13] J. M. Cohen and L. S. Kegeles, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 1070 (1974).
[14] L. S. Kegeles and J. M. Cohen, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 1641 (1979).
[15] J. M. Stewart, Royal Society of London Proceedings Series A **367**, 527 (1979).
[16] R. M. Wald, Physical Review Letters **41**, 203 (1978).
[17] S. Chandrasekhar, *The mathematical theory of black holes* (Oxford University Press, 1983).
[18] S. Detweiler and B. F. Whiting, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 024025 (2003), gr-qc/0202086.
[19] Y. Mino, M. Sasaki, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 3457 (1997), arXiv:gr-qc/9606018.
[20] T. C. Quinn and R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 3381 (1997), arXiv:gr-qc/9610053.
[21] L. Barack, Classical and Quantum Gravity **26**, 213001 (2009).
[22] L. Barack and A. Ori, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 124003 (2001), arXiv:gr-qc/0107056.
[23] T. Linz, private communication.
[24] E. D. Fackerell and R. G. Crossman, J. Math. Phys. **18**, 1849 (1977).
[25] W. H. Press and S. A. Teukolsky, Astrophys. J. **185**, 649 (1973).
[26] S. A. Hughes, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 084004 (2000).
[27] G. B. Arfken and H. J. Weber, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists* (Harcourt Academic Press, 2001), 5th ed.
[28] L. R. Price, Ph.D. thesis, University of Florida (2007).
[29] J. L. Friedman, K. Uryu, and M. Shibata, Phys Rev D **65**, 064035 (2002).
[30] M. Shibata, K. Uryu, and J. L. Friedman, Phys Rev D **70**, 129901(E) (2004).
[31] A. L. Tiec, L. Blanchet, and B. F. Whiting, Phys Rev D **85**, 064039 (2012).